



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/061,178	02/01/2002	Ahmed Mokhtari	PHFR 010008	9591
24737	7590	10/16/2003	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			DONG, DALEI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/061,178	MOKHTARI, AHMED	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dalei Dong	2875	

-- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh et with the correspond nc addr ss --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2003 .
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/061,178 .
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,990,599 to Jackson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,739,633 to Biro.

Regarding to claims 1-4 and 6, Jackson discloses in Figure 1, “a high-pressure discharge lamp 10. Lamp 10 has a discharge vessel 12 which is enclosed by an outer bulb 14 defining an intervening space 16 therebetween. Discharge vessel 12 contains an ionizable filling such as mercury and metal halides as is well known in the art. Lamp 10 further has a lamp base 18 positioned at an end of outer bulb 14. A first current supply conductor 20 provides an electrical connection between a first terminal 25 in lamp base 18 and internal electrode 22 of discharge vessel 12. Likewise, second current supply conductor 24 provides an electrical connection between a second terminal 21 in lamp base 18 and internal electrode 26 of discharge vessel 12” (column 2, line 52-64)

Jackson further discloses “UV-enhancer 28 for emitting ultraviolet radiation is connected to the first and second current supply conductors 20 and 24 in the intervening space 16 between. An envelope 30 contains an ionizable filling and emits ultraviolet radiation in the band of 253.7 nm or less to assist ignition of the filling in discharge

vessel 12. An electrode 32 is connected at one end to current supply conductor 20, and an intermediate portion is sealed within envelope 30 of UV-enhancer 28. In a preferred embodiment, envelope 30 is constructed of borosilicate glass. A fill material may consist of an inert gas, such as Ar, in combination with a quantity of mercury, such as a Penning mixture. Such an envelope is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,818,915 to Zaslavsky et al., which is incorporated by reference herein” (column 2, line 65-67 to column 3, line 1-11).

Jackson further discloses “ultraviolet radiation is produced by the ionizable filling in envelope 30 through capacitive coupling of envelope 30 with a conducting member 34 in accordance with the present invention. To promote such ionization, conducting member 34 is positioned adjacent to envelope 30, and is electrically connected to second current conductor 24 by means of connecting wire 36. An ignition pulse is applied to terminals 21 and 25 by an appropriate ballast to initiate ionization within envelope 30. Insulating member 38 is positioned between conducting member 34 and envelope 30. The size and shape of insulating member 38 is selected to maintain a predetermined distance between conducting member 34 and envelope 30 to promote capacitive coupling while preventing destructive arcing therebetween” (column 3, line 12-25).

Jackson further yet discloses “the UV-enhancer 28 may be assembled as a unit, i.e. insulating member 38 is fixedly positioned between envelope 30 and conducting member 34. The assembled UW-enhancer 38 may be subsequently positioned with respect to the frame of the lamp without the need for critical alignment procedures that are required under the prior art” (column 3, line 26-31).

However, Jackson does not disclose the capsule is made of metal. Biro teaches in Figures 1 and 2, “auxiliary amalgam members 52 are provided within the sealed envelope 12 near the electrodes 30. The auxiliary amalgam members 52 are attached to and supported by one of the lead wires 34 of the electrode support structure 32 adjacent to the electrode 30. Therefore, the auxiliary amalgam members 52 are not directly in the discharge path but are sufficiently near the electrodes 30 such that they are heated by the electrodes during discharge periods. Each amalgam member 52 includes auxiliary amalgam and a wire mesh strip into which the amalgam is embedded. The composition of the auxiliary amalgam is chosen to be compatible with the temperature characteristics of the precise position where it is located in the envelope 12. It is noted that the auxiliary amalgam members 52 could alternatively be of other known types such as, for example, a nickel leaf with the auxiliary amalgam coated on the leaf” (column 4, line 21-36).

Biro also teaches “the purpose of the auxiliary amalgam members 50 is to control the mercury vapor pressure during a warm-up or starting period of the lamp. The auxiliary amalgam members 52 are heated by the electrodes 30 and emit mercury vapor during the starting period to increase the mercury vapor pressure and thereby improve warm-up characteristics of the lamp 10. The auxiliary amalgam members 52 also absorb mercury vapor during non-discharge periods” (column 4, line 37-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have manufactured capsule of Jackson utilizing the metal amalgam of Biro in order to improve the control and the efficiency of the enhancer and achieving high light output quickly and maintain high light output during steady-state

operation without any significant reduction in light output between starting and running conditions.

Regarding to claim 5, Jackson discloses the claimed invention except for the capsule to be embedded into the closed pumping tube. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have rearranged the capsule in a different part of the lamp, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86USPQ 70.

Regarding to claim 7, Jackson in view of Biro discloses the claimed invention except for the claimed specific pressure range. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have adjust the pressure of the filling in accordance to the design specification, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding to claim 8, it is old and well known in the art to utilize krypton $_{36}\text{Kr}^{85}$ in a discharge medium, also Applicant has not establish the criticality of the krypton material, Applicant also discloses other radioactive material may be utilized as the filling. Further, Applicant fails to show testing or analysis that is not obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to demonstrate the advantage and criticality of the material. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to choose krypton $_{36}\text{Kr}^{85}$ as the discharge material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding to claim 9, the radioactivity is the intrinsic property of different elements or compounds, therefore it is not given a patentable weight.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed August 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a radioactive gas; Examiner asserts that the radioactive gas utilized in the present claim invention is krypton which is member of an inert or noble gas as disclosed by Jackson reference "a fill material may consist of an inert gas"; however, Applicant states in the argument "neither the inert gas, nor mercury is a radioactive gas." Albeit, Jackson reference does not specifically disclose krypton as the filling material, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize an inert gas krypton instead of argon for the filling material as taught by the Jackson reference. Thus, Examiner asserts that the prior art of record are valid and maintains the rejection.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (703)308-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703)305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

D.D.
October 3, 2003

